川崎医科大学 一般

英語

平 成 27 年 度

入学試験問題

受番	験号			

1. 注意事項

- (1) 試験開始の合図があるまで、この問題冊子の中を見てはいけません。
- (2) この問題冊子は11ページあります。 試験中に、問題冊子の印刷不鮮明、ページの落丁・乱丁および解答用紙の汚れなどに気づいた場合は、手を挙げて、監督者に知らせなさい。
- (3) 解答用紙には、氏名、受験番号の記入欄および受験番号のマーク欄があります。それぞれ正しく記入し、マークしなさい。
- (4) 問題冊子のどのページも切り離してはいけません。
- (5) 試験終了後、解答用紙はもちろん、問題冊子も持ち帰ってはいけません。

2. 解答上の注意

- (1) マークシート左下に記載している「注意事項」を読みなさい。
- (2) 問題は I , II , IV の4つから成ります。

I	4			, ()に入れる	べき	最も適切なもの)を()~(0の中か
	ら1	しつす	げつ選びなさい。						
	問	1 F	Ie tapped me () the shoulder	·.			
		a	onto	6	in	©	on	0	for
	問	2 Т	here is a man	who	insists () se	eing you on a r	natt:	er of urgent
	, ,		usiness.			,	orred Anna com com		
		<u>a</u>	with	6	for	©	at	@	on
	問	3 Т	`he stepmother	will l	be prejudiced () the little chil	ld.	
		a	against	6	for	©	at	0	on
	問	4 T	The shop () w	hich the fire sta	ırted	is just around t	the c	orner.
		<u>a</u>	for	6	in	©	with	a	to
	間	5 II	le comes from I	Kvot	o as vou can () from his acc	ent	
	161	(a)	tell	(b)	distinguish	©		@ @	know
	問	6 Н @	le comes home sharp	at s∈ ⊚	even o'clock (keen	©	just	ര	due
		O	onar p	•	ncon	O	Jacob	•	uuo
	問	7 I	have never exp	erier	nced () jo	y.			
		a	so a supreme			0	a such suprem	e	
		0	such supreme	a		0	so supreme a		
	問	8 H	Ie is looking for	warc	l to visiting Fra	nce i	in August, and	().
		a	so his friend is)		6	so is his friend		
		©	is his friend so)		0	as his friend is	i	
					 1 				♦M1 (408—2)

問	9	If	it (()	your	timely	rescue,	the b	oy `	would	have	been	drow	ned	to
		de	ath	•											·	
	a)	had	l not	beer	ı			6	W	as not					
	6)	had	l not	beer	ı for			0	W	as not	been	for			
問	10	Th	is	()	the c	ase, ap	plicants	for th	ie u	nivers	ity sho	ould d	lo the	ir be	st
		es	pec	ially	duri	ng su	mmer	vacation	l.							
	(a)	beir	ng		6) was		©	ha	ad bee	n	0	been		

Ⅱ 問 11~問 13 について	「,次の日本文に合	うように〔	〕の語	を並べかえ、	て英				
文を完成する際に,(ア)と(イ)にくるものの正しい組み合わせを $oldsymbol{0}$ ~ $oldsymbol{0}$ の中から									
1つずつ選びなさい。									
問11 その災害の後,	人々は人命ほど大り	刃なものは	ないという	強い信念を打	抱い				
た。									
After the disa	ster, people had	(·) () () (ア	')				
()()	()(1)	()	().						
(nothing / life / the	e / more / belief /	than / tha	t / is / stro	ong / preciou	ıs]				
② 𝔭: nothing	イ:life	⑥ ア:	belief	イ:than					
⑥ ア:that	イ:precious	@ ア:	strong	イ:more					
問12 患者を手助けする	る一つの方法は、患	者がストレ	/スへの適応	をうまく行っ	う方				
法を学ぶのを助い	けることである。								
One way to s	support patients () () () (ア	')				
() ()	(1)()	()	().						
[learn / stress / ad	apt / is / to / ther	n/to/he	lp / to / wa	ays)					
② ア:help	イ:stress	⑥ ア:	is 1	: learn					
◎ ア:them	イ:to	② ア:	to 1	: adapt					
	·								
問13 急いで食べると,	太りすぎになるば	かりでなく	,不健康に	もなってし	まい				
ます。									
Haste () (ア)() () (イ) ()				
() ()	().								
(overweight / well /	as / unhealthy /	people / m	akes / eati	ng / as / in)				
② ア: people	イ:well								
り ア:eating	イ:unhealthy								
⑥ ア: in	イ:overweight								
② ア: makes	イ:as								

Ⅲ 問 14~問 22 について,次の英文の空所(14)~(22)に入れるべき最も 適切なものを**②**~**②**の中から 1 つずつ選びなさい。

As any homemaker who (14) to maintain order at the dinner table knows, there is far more to a family meal than meets the tongue. Sociologist Michael Lewis (15) 50 families to find out just how much more. The basic conclusion is clear: with all that is said and done at the dinner table, food may be the least significant *1 ingredient of the evening meal.

Lewis and his colleagues (16) their research by videotaping the families while they ate ordinary meals in their own homes. They found that parents *2 presiding over small families tend to converse actively with each other and their children. But as the number of children gets larger, conversation (17) way to the parents' efforts to control the inevitable noise. That can have important influence on the kids. "In general, the more question-asking the parents do, the higher the children's IQ's," Lewis said. "And the more children there are, the (18) question-asking there is for each child."

The study also offers a clue to why middle children often seem to have a harder time in life than their brothers and sisters. Lewis found that in families with three or four children, dinner conversation tends to center (19) the oldest child, who has the most to talk about, and the youngest, who needs the most attention. "Middle children are invisible," says Lewis. "When you see someone get up from the table and walk around during dinner, chances are it's the middle child." There is, however, one great equalizer that stops all conversation and robs everyone (20) attention: "When the TV is on," Lewis says, "dinner is a nonevent."

Despite the feminist movement, Lewis' study indicates that (21) dinner continues to be regarded as women's work—even when both have jobs. Some men do help out, but for most husbands dinnertime remains a (22)

hour. While the female cooks and serves, Lewis says, "the male sits back and eats."

Not	tes:	*lingredient 構成要素		*²preside	² preside (食事で)主人行		をつ	とめる	
問14	@	can try	6	tried	(9	has tried	0	will try
問15	(a) (c)	has been obs	erving	ţ		9	has been observis being observ		
問16	(a) (c)	composed			@	_	concluded combined		
問17	a	fights	6	gives	6)	works	0	feels
問18	@	more	6	less	@)	fewer	0	greater
問19	@	over	6	on	6)	near	0	for
問20	a	with	6	by	@)	from	0	of
門21	@ ©	prepared being prepare	ed		(d		preparing to be prepared		
問22	(a) (c)	relaxing relaxes			(<u>6</u>		relax be relaxed		

IV 問 23~問 35 について,次の英文を読み,本文の内容に一致する最も適切なものを**②~④**の中から 1 つずつ選びなさい。

We've seen enough evidence that the self-control demands of everyday life can drain the willpower we need to resist ordinary, everyday temptations like cookies and cigarettes. This, of course, is not good news. But as much as these temptations threaten our personal goals, they are small potatoes compared with the *1 collective consequence of a society in which most people are drained of willpower. One of the most troubling studies of willpower fatigue raised the stakes by using a "public goods" measure of self-control called the "Forest Game." In this economic *2 simulation, players became owners of a timber company for a game period of twenty-five years. They were given 500 acres the first year, and were told that the forest would grow at a rate of 10 percent each year. In any given year, each owner could cut down up to 100 acres. For every acre a player cut down, they would be paid six cents. Don't worry about the exact math, but under these terms, it makes the most economic (not to mention environmental) sense to allow the forest to grow rather than to cut it down and sell it off quickly. However, this strategy requires patience and the willingness to cooperate with other players, so no one tries to chop down the whole forest to make a quick dollar.

Before the game, some groups of players completed a self-control task that required blocking out mental *3 distractions — a classic willpower-reducing scheme. They came to the game a bit willpower-exhausted. In the game, these players went on to wipe out their forests for short-term financial gain. By the tenth year in the simulation, they were down from 500 to 62 acres. By year fifteen, the forest was completely destroyed, and the simulation had to be ended early. The players had not cooperated with each other; they had turned to a take-what-you-can-get-before-the-others-sell-it strategy. In contrast, players who had not performed the distraction task still had a forest when the

simulation ended at twenty-five years, and they had made more money while saving a few trees.

The Forest Game is just a simulation, but one cannot help being reminded of the strangely similar end of the Easter Island forest. For centuries, the densely forested island in the Pacific Ocean supported a developing civilization. But as the population grew, the island's inhabitants started cutting down trees for more land and wood. By the year 800 A.D., they were cutting down trees faster than the forest could grow back. By the 1500s, the forest was wiped out, along with many species the inhabitants depended on for food. Hunger became widespread. By the late 1800s, 97 percent of the population had died or left the barren island.

Since then, many people have wondered, what were the residents of Easter Island thinking as they destroyed their forests and society? Couldn't they see the long-term consequences of what they were doing? We can't imagine ourselves making such obviously *4shortsighted decisions, but we shouldn't be so sure. Humans have a natural tendency to focus on immediate gains, and changing course to prevent future disaster takes enormous self-discipline from all members of a society. It's not just a matter of caring; change requires doing. In the Forest Game study, all the players expressed the same values of cooperation and the desire to protect the long-term good. The willpower-reduced players just didn't act on those values.

The psychologists who ran this study suggest that people who are willpower-reduced cannot be counted on to make good decisions for society. This is a troubling claim, given what we know about how easy it is to exhaust willpower, and how many minor decisions in our daily lives demand self-control. We are not going to solve national or global crises like economic growth, health care, human rights, and climate change if we are exhausted by grocery shopping and dealing with difficult fellow workers.

As individuals, we can take steps to strengthen our personal self-control,

and this will make no small difference in our personal lives. Knowing how to strengthen the limited self-control of a nation is a more difficult thing. Rather than hope that we as a nation develop more willpower in order to meet our biggest challenges, our best bet might be to take self-control out of the situation whenever possible — or at least reduce the self-control demands of doing the right thing. Behavioral economist Richard Thaler and legal scholar Cass Sunstein have argued for choice architecture, systems that make it easier for people to make good decisions consistent with their values and goals. For example, asking people to become good organ donors when they renew a driver's license or register to vote. Or having health insurance companies automatically schedule annual check-ups for their members. These are things most people mean to do, but put off because they are *5 distracted by so many other more urgent demands.

Choice architecture designed to control people's decisions is a debated proposition. Some see it as restricting individual freedom or ignoring personal *6 accountability. And yet, people who are free to choose anything most often choose against their long-term interests. Research on the limits of self-control suggests that this is not because we are *7 innately irrational, or because we are making deliberate decisions to enjoy today and forget about tomorrow. Instead, we may simply be too tired to act against our worst tendencies. If we want to strengthen self-control, we may need to think about how we can best support the most exhausted version of ourselves — and not count on an ideal version of ourselves to show up and save the day.

Notes: *1 collective 集合的な

*2simulation シミュレーション

*3distraction 気を散らすもの

*4shortsighted 短絡的な

*⁵distract 気を散らす

*6accountability 責任

*⁷innately 生得的に

- 問23 How is willpower lost according to this article?
 - (a) It can be lost by increasing temptations.
 - (b) It can be lost by fighting temptations.
 - (c) It can be lost by ignoring temptations.
 - (d) It can be lost by accepting temptations.
- 問24 What is the greatest threat to society?
 - (a) Too many small vegetables are.
 - (b) Threats to personal goals are.
 - A society operating without enough willpower is.
 - (d) Choosing between personal and social goals is.
- 問25 What kind of study was given to show a harmful collective consequence to society?
 - (a) It was one using a game which required twenty-five years.
 - 6 It was one using a game which required knowledge of the forest.
 - © It was one using a game which required common resources to be taken good care of.
 - (d) It was one using a game which required tree-cutting skills.
- 問26 What was the way used to reduce willpower for the Forest Game?
 - People were provided with unlimited temptations for free.
 - People were given distractions while doing something that required self-control.
 - © People were allowed to do anything they wanted to do.
 - People were asked to perform a simulation exercise played for many years.

- 問27 How did the players in the forest game differ?
 - a Some were more experienced than the others.
 - 6 Some were quicker in making decisions than the others.
 - 6 Some were younger in age than the others.
 - (d) Some were weaker in self-control than the others.
- 問28 What does the author think is important to get the best results in the Forest Game?
 - (a) Freedom and pleasure are.
 - 6 Patience and teamwork are.
 - © Determination and temptation are.
 - d Stress and anxiety are.
- 問29 Which groups saved the most money and trees?
 - a The groups that had better ecological sense did.
 - (b) The groups that ended the simulation earliest did.
 - The groups that did not do the self-control task did.
 - 6 The groups that took what they could get did.
- 問30 What action started the destruction of the Easter Island?
 - (a) The action of planting trees did.
 - 6 The action of damaging trees did.
 - © The action of burning trees did.
 - (d) The action of cutting down trees did.

- 問31 What is the natural tendency of humans?
 - a It is to acquire things quickly.
 - (b) It is to lack imagination.
 - (c) It is to use self-discipline.
 - (d) It is to be unaware of what he/she is doing.
- 問32 What is the purpose of choice architecture?
 - (a) It is to make decisions easier to make.
 - (b) It is to increase good organ donation.
 - (c) It is to improve human behavior and the economy.
 - (d) It is to provide a better environment in which to live.
- 問33 What must people avoid in order to make good decisions for society?
 - (a) They must avoid global crises.
 - (b) They must avoid losing mental strength.
 - 6 They must avoid consuming too many resources.
 - (d) They must avoid climate change.
- 問34 How are the results of the Forest Game and the Easter Island related?
 - (a) In both cases, men tried to make a lot of money quickly.
 - (b) In both cases, men were unwilling to take risks.
 - (c) In both cases, men could not see far into the future.
 - (a) In both cases, men forgot the development of trees.
- 問35 What is the main idea of this article?
 - An over-burdened society will make poor decisions.
 - (b) People need healthy forests to avoid disaster.
 - © Modern life has too many distractions.
 - (d) Choice architecture could help Easter Island in the future.